

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United State: Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 145) Alouantia Virginia 22313-1450 www.fspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/779,071	02/07/2001		Eric Sven-Johan Swildens	UDN0005	4392	
29989	7590	08/14/2006		EXAMINER		
		RMO TRUONG &	PATEL, HARESH N			
2055 GATE SUITE 550	WAY PL	ACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95110				2154		
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/779,071	SWILDENS, ERIC SVEN-JOHAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Haresh Patel	2154		

	Haresh Patel	2154						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	tne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•							
Claim(s) allowed: None.								
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to contact the data of the d								
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	do(s).						
		WILLIAM VAU	GHA)					

WILLIAM VAUGHAP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the claims 1-30 are rejected with the cited prior arts of the final office action, dated 4/21/2006. Applicant proposed amending the rejected claimed subject matter, with additional limitations, for example, "requesting by a scheduler assignment of a virtual IP address to said scheduler", etc., which require further consideration and/or search.

WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINF
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100